ı	]	
1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General	
2	of the State of California JEANNE C. WERNER, State Bar No. 93170	
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice	
4	California Department of Justice 1515 Clay Street, 20 <sup>th</sup> Floor P.O. Box 70550	
5	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2226	
6	Facsimile: (510) 622-2121	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. AC-2005-11	
11	SEVERO "ROY" CAMIL ESTRADA  STIPULATED SURRENDER	
12	2729 Glen Amador Court San Jose, California 95148  STATISTICS OF LICENSE AND ORDER	
13	Certified Public Accountant Certificate	
14	No. CPA 35696,	
15	Respondent.	
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties in this	
17	proceeding that the following matters are true:	
18	PARTIES AND JURISDICTION	
19	1. Carol Sigmann, Complainant, is the Executive Officer of the California Board of	
20	Accountancy (the "Board"). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is	
21	represented in this matter by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, and by	
22	Jeanne C. Werner, Deputy Attorney General.	
23	2. Severo "Roy" Camil Estrada, Respondent, is representing himself in this	
24	proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.	
25	3. On or about July 30, 1982, the California Board of Accountancy issued Certified	
26	Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 35696 to Respondent Severo "Roy" Camil Estrada. The	
27	Certificate is subject to renewal every two years (on September 1 of odd-numbered years)	
28	pursuant to Code Section 5070.5. The Certified Public Accountant Certificate was continuously	

2

3

4

5

12

13 14

> 17 18 19

> > 20

15

16

27

28

25

26

renewed in "active" status until its renewal in an "inactive" status effective September 1, 2001, in which status it has remained, and is renewed through August 31, 2007.

Accusation No. AC-2005-11 was filed before the Board on May 12, 2006, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on May 17, 2006. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. AC-2005-11 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

#### **WAIVERS & CONTINGENCY**

- Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in 5. Accusation No. AC-2005-11. Respondent also has carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a 6. hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.
- It is understood that in signing this stipulation rather than further contesting the 7. Accusation, Respondent is voluntarily consenting to the adoption of this Stipulated Surrender and Order as the Board's Decision in Case No. 2005-11, enabling the Board to issue the following order without further legal process. Respondent represents that no tender, offer, promise, threat or inducement of any kind whatsoever have been made by the Board or any member, officer, agent or representative thereof in consideration of this offer or otherwise to induce him to so consent.
- This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondent 8. understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may

. 1

communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it.

9. If the Board does not adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender shall be withdrawn. It shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph. It shall have no evidentiary value, shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and shall not be relied upon or introduced in any disciplinary, or other, action or proceeding by either party hereto. In the event that the Stipulated Surrender is not adopted, nothing recited herein shall be construed as a waiver of Respondent's right to a hearing on the truth of the Accusation's charges, or a waiver of any other right, including those rights expressly waived in the Stipulated Surrender. Communications pursuant to this paragraph, and consideration of this matter, shall not disqualify the Board or other persons from future participation in this or any other matter affecting Respondent. Respondent agrees that should the Board reject the Stipulated Surrender and if this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent will assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of the Stipulated Surrender or of any records related hereto.

### ADMISSIONS AND FURTHER STIPULATIONS BETWEEN THE PARTIES

- 10. Solely for the purpose of resolving the charges and allegations in the Accusation, Respondent admits the truth of the charges and allegations in Accusation No. AC-2005-11, agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders his Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 35696 for the Board's formal acceptance.
- 11. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of his Certified Public Accountant Certificate without further process.
- 12. The surrender of Respondent's Certified Public Accountant Certificate and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a

1.

2.5

part of Respondent's license history with the Board.

- 13. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a Certified Public Accountant in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 14. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board both his wall certificate and pocket license certificate when requested to do so by the Board.
- 15. Respondent understands and agrees that if he ever applies for licensure or petitions for reinstatement in the State of California, respondent will be required to pay cost recovery in this case before his application or petition will be considered. The Board's reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution in this case as of September 28, 2006, are \$18,308,23.
- 16. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 17. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

#### <u>ORDER</u>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the surrender of Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 35696, issued to Respondent Severo "Roy" Camil Estrada, is accepted by the California Board of Accountancy. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a Certified Public Accountant in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.

#### **ACCEPTANCE**

I have carefully read the Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Certified Public Accountant Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and

//

//

28 \\

1	agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the California Board of Accountancy.	
2	DATED:	
3	It all thetroday	
4	Severo "Roy" Camil Estrada	
5	Respondent	
6	ENDORSEMENT	
7	The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted	
8	for consideration by the California Board of Accountancy of the Department of Consumer	
9	Affairs.	
10	DATED: actober 5 2006	
11		
12	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California	
13	of the State of Camonna	
14	lanne Collegenes -	
15	JEANNE C. WERNER  (Deputy Attorney General	
16	Attorneys for Complainant	
17	Tittomeys for companion	
18	DOJ Matter ID: SF2004401435 draft surrender sept 06.wpd	
19	draft surrender sept oo.wpd	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

# BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. AC-2005-11
SEVERO "ROY" CAMIL ESTRADA 2729 Glen Amador Court San Jose, California 95148	DECISION AND ORDER
Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 35696,	
Respondent.	
·	and the second s
The offer of surrender of Certified Pu	blic Accountant Certificate
No. CPA 35696 is hereby accepted by the California	Board of Accountancy, Department of
Consumer Affairs, and the Stipulated Surrender of L	icense is adopted its Decision in this matter.
This Decision shall become effective	on <u>January 7, 2007</u> .
It is so ORDEREDDecember 8,	2006 , 2006.
Ron Blanc, President FOR THE CALIFORNIA BO DEPARTMENT OF CONSU	

Exhibit A
Accusation No. AC-2005-11

1	BILL LOCKYER		
2	Attorney General of the State of California JEANNE C. WERNER, State Bar No. 93170		
3	Deputy Attorney General 1515 Clay Street, 20 <sup>th</sup> Floor		
	P.O. Box 70550		
4	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2226		
. 5	Fax: (510) 622-2121 Attorneys for Complainant		
6	BEFORE THE		
7	CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY		
8	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
-			
9			
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:  Case No. AC-2005-11		
11	SEVERO "ROY" CAMIL ESTRADA		
12	2729 Glen Amador Court San Jose, California 95148 ACCUSATION		
13	Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA		
	35696		
14	Respondent.		
15			
16	Complainant alleges:		
17	PARTIES AND JURISDICTION		
18	1. Complainant Carol Sigmann brings this Accusation solely in her official		
19	capacity as the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy, Department of		
20	Consumer Affairs.		
21	2. On or about July 30, 1982, the California Board of Accountancy issued Certified		
22	Public Accountant Certificate Number CPA 35696 to Severo "Roy" Camil Estrada,		
23	Respondent. The Certificate is subject to renewal every two years (on September 1 of odd-		
24	numbered years) pursuant to Code Section 5070.5. The Certified Public Accountant Certificate		
25	was continuously renewed in "active" status until its renewal in an "inactive" status effective		
26	September 1, 2001, in which status it has remained, and is renewed through August 31, 2007.		
27	<i>                                      </i>		
28	///		

12

13 14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

2223

24

2526

///

1. All statutory references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

27

2. Board rules or regulations cited herein are codified in Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations and will be referenced simply as a Board Rule, e.g., Cal. Code Regs., tit. 16, § 5 will be referenced herein as Board Rule 5.

Disciplinary Authority/Unprofessional Conduct. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of Section 5100 of the Business and Professions Code. \*\*Code Section 5100 provides, in relevant part, that, after notice and hearing the Board may revoke, suspend or refuse to renew any permit or certificate granted, or may censure the holder of that permit or certificate for unprofessional conduct including, but not limited to, one or any combination of the following causes:

- 5100(c) Gross negligence in the practice of public accountancy.
- 5100(g) Willful violation of the Accountancy Act or any rule or regulation<sup>21</sup> promulgated by the board under the authority granted under this chapter.
- 5100(j) Knowing preparation, publication or dissemination of false, fraudulent, or materially misleading financial statements, reports, or information.
- 4. <u>Audit Report</u>. Code Section 5062 requires a licensee to issue a report which conforms to professional standards upon completion of an audit of financial statements.
- 5. <u>Licensed Practice</u>. As pertinent herein, Code Section 5050 requires that a person be licensed by the Board in order to practice public accountancy in the State of California. Code Section 5055 provides that only a licensed individual or firm may use the "CPA" designation. Code Section 5051 states in pertinent part that a person shall be deemed to be engaged in the practice of public accountancy if he or she does any of the activities specified therein, including:
  - (a) Holds himself or herself out to the public in any manner as one skilled in the knowledge, science, and practice of accounting, and as qualified and ready to render professional service therein as a public accountant for compensation.
  - (b) Maintains an office for the transaction of business as a public accountant.

- (c) Offers to prospective clients to perform for compensation, or who does perform on behalf of clients for compensation, professional services that involve or require an audit, examination, verification, investigation, certification, presentation, or review of financial transactions and accounting records.
- (f) Keeps books, makes trial balances, or prepares statements, makes audits, or prepares reports, all as a part of bookkeeping operations for clients.
- 6. Required Observance of Rules. Board Rule 5 requires a licensee to observe Board rules if the licensee is engaged in the types of activities performed by certified public accountants or who renders other professional services which include, but are not limited to, bookkeeping, financial planning, investment planning, tax services and management services.
- 7. Professional Standards (Audits of Financial Statements and Auditor's Reports). Board Rule 58 provides that licensees engaged in the practice of public accountancy must comply with all applicable professional standards, including but not limited to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP" see below), Governmental Auditors Standards ("GAS"), and Generally Accepted Auditing Standards ("GAAS" see below). Standards of practice pertinent to this accusation and the engagements in issue<sup>4/2</sup> include, without limitation:
- A. Generally Accepted Auditing Standards ("GAAS") issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). The ten Generally Accepted Auditing Standards are discussed at some length in the Statements on Auditing Standards ("SAS") and are codified, by "AU" number, in the AICPA's Codification of Statements on Auditing Standards. GAAS include four Standards of Reporting.

- 4. All references herein to standards and other authoritative literature are to the versions in effect at the time the audit engagements were being performed.
- 5. There are ten basic or fundamental standards, and the Statements on Auditing Standards are, for the most part, devoted to elaborating on those standards. The basic standards are codified at AU § 150. Among these ten standards are the three General standards, the three standards of Fieldwork, and the four Reporting standards, all of which are further described in the other SAS's.

<sup>3. &</sup>quot;Generally Accepted Accounting Principles" (GAAP) are the basic postulates and broad principles of accounting pertaining to business enterprises. These principles establish guidelines for measuring, recording, and classifying the transactions of a business entity. "Generally Accepted Auditing Standards" (GAAS) are the standards prescribed for the conduct of auditors in the performance of an examination of management's financial statements. See SEC v. Arthur Young & Co., 590 F.2d 785, 788 nn. 2 & 4 (9th Cir. 1979).

(1) Among the SAS relevant herein are:

AU §150 (Ten Standards and Informative Disclosures; also AU § 431);

AU §210 (Training and Proficiency of the Independent Auditor);

AU §230 (Due Care; AU §230.02 imposes a responsibility on the auditor to observe the standards of fieldwork and reporting);

AU §311 (Planning and Supervision);

AU §316.37 (Documentation of the Auditor's Risk Assessment);

AU §319 (Internal Control) and Appendix A (Control Environment Factors);

AU §326 (Evidential Matter);

AU §339 (Working Papers); and

AU §431 (Presentation of Financial Statements; Qualified or Adverse Opinion) AU §508 (Auditor's Report).

(2) GAAS - Specifics re: "Reporting" Standards.

- (a) The first "Standard of Reporting" (AU § 150.02) provides that "The report shall state whether the financial statements are presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles." This is further defined in AU § 508.08, which provides that the fourth basic element of the auditor's standard report is the inclusion of a statement that "the audit was conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and an identification of the United States of America as the country of origin of those standards."
- (b) The **third "Standard of Reporting"** (AU § 150.02) provides that "Informative disclosures in the financial statements are to be regarded as reasonably adequate unless otherwise stated in the report."
- (c) The fourth "Standard of Reporting" (AU § 150.02) provides that the "report shall contain either an expression of opinion regarding the financial statements, taken as a whole, or an assertion to the effect that an opinion cannot be expressed...the report should contain a clear-cut indication of the character of the auditor's work, if any, and the degree of responsibility the auditor is taking."

24

25

26

28

. 28

(d) AU Section 508 provides further guidance regarding the relationship of the fourth reporting standard (immediately above) to the language of the auditor's report. The auditor can determine that he is able to issue an audit report containing an unqualified opinion only if he has conducted his audit in accordance with GAAS. AU Section 530 states, in part, that the date of the auditor's report should be the date the field work and corresponding review of events subsequent to the balance sheet date is completed.

B. Generally Accepted Governmental Auditing Standards ("GAS" or "GAGAS", set forth in Government Auditing Standards - Standards for Audit of Governmental Organizations, Programs, Activities and Functions, and issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, United States General Accounting Office, 1994 rev. as amended (the "Yellow Book"). For a "Yellow Book" audit, these standards, in addition to requiring observance of the GAAS requirements set forth above, provide additional requirements, e.g., supplemental working paper documentation requirements, additional reporting requirements (reports on internal control and compliance with laws and regulations), and quality reviews, as set forth hereinafter. Among specific GAS or GAGAS standards pertinent herein are, without limitation:

- Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards ("GAGAS" or the "Yellow Book") requires that a record of the auditors' work be retained in the form of working papers. Section 4.35 provides that "Working papers should contain sufficient information to enable an experienced auditor having no previous connection to the audit to ascertain from them the evidence that supports the auditors' significant conclusions and judgments."
- Quality Control/Review: Section 3.31 provides that "Each audit organization conducting audits in accordance with these standards should have an appropriate internal quality control system in place and undergo an external quality control review." Section 3.33 provides that "Organizations conducting audits in accordance with these standards should have an external quality review at least once every 3 years by an organization not affiliated with the organization being reviewed. [Footnote omitted.] The external quality control review should

determine whether the organization's internal quality control system is in place and operating effectively to provide reasonable assurance that established policies and procedures and applicable auditing standards are being followed."

- (3) <u>GAS/GAGAS Continuing Education Requirements</u>: Section 3.6 requires, *inter alia*, that each auditor responsible for planning, directing, conducting, or reporting on audits under GAGAS complete, every 2 years, at least 80 hours of continuing education and training, of which at least 24 hours should be in subjects directly related to the government environment and to government auditing. Section 3.7 makes the audit organization responsible for establishing and implementing a program to ensure that auditors meet the continuing education and training requirements set forth in Section 3.6, and requires that the audit organization maintain documentation of the education and training completed.
- (4) <u>GAS/GAGAS Additional Reports Required</u>: Section 5.3 incorporates the reporting requirements of GAAS and prescribes additional standards, including the requirement to report on compliance with laws and regulations (see sections 5.5 through 5.16) and to report on internal controls (see sections 5.17 through 5.25).
- (5) <u>Sixth Supplemental Reporting Standard</u>: GAS requires that audit reports are to be submitted, *inter alia*, to officials who have legal oversight authority or who may be responsible for taking action and to others authorized to receive such reports (Section 5.32).
- C. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP"), derived from various authoritative sources. AU § 411 provides guidance to the auditor concerning the "Meaning of 'Present Fairly in Conformity with GAAP." This guidance relates to the auditor's duties under both GAAS and GAAP. AU § 411.18 sets forth the "GAAP Hierarchy Summary." Generally Accepted Accounting Principles relevant herein include, without limitation:
- (1) Statements and Interpretations (SFAS or FAS) issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board(FASB). FAS and APB Opinions (see paragraph (2) immediately following) are codified by topic in the AICPA's publication *Current Text*. These,

<sup>6.</sup> The FASB is the private sector organization which has been primarily responsible for promulgating GAAP since 1973. Before the FASB, the Accounting Principles Board (APB) issued opinions, from 1959 through 1973.)

pronouncements are the most authoritative source for GAAP. The statements pertinent herein include, without limitation, SFAS No. 5 (Allowance for Doubtful Accounts); SFAS No. 13 (Operating Leases); SFAS No. 95 (Cash Paid for Interest and Taxes); and SFAS No. 117 (Classification of Net Assets).

- (2) Statements issued by the Accounting Principles Board of the AICPA, including APB No. 22 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies).
- (3) Statements of Financial Position 94-6 (Section 10,640 from AICPA Technical Practice Aids) re: Disclosure of Certain Significant Risks and Uncertainties.
- D. Laws and regulations, including those of the California Department of Social Services (CDSS), which govern audit requirements for foster care providers. During the relevant time period, relevant requirements were provided, inter alia, by the California Welfare and Institutions code and by the DSS Manual which provided Administrative Standards for Eligibility and Assistance Programs, specifically Regulation 11-405.2.21 *Audit Report Requirements*.
  - 8. Continuing Education Requirements for Licensure and Active Practice.

Board Rule 80 provides that the holder of an inactive license shall not engage in the practice of public accountancy as defined by Code Section 5051. Board Rule 87 sets forth continuing education requirements for active license renewal. Board Rule 94 provides that the failure, by a licensee engaged in active practice, to comply with the Board's continuing education rules and requirements constitutes cause for discipline under Code Section 5100.

9. Pursuant to Code section 118(b), the suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by the Board shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the Board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground. Further, Code section 5109 provides, *inter alia*, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with any investigation or action or disciplinary proceeding against the licensee. Code section 5070.6

provides that an expired permit may be renewed at any time within five years after its expiration upon compliance with certain requirements.

- Cost Recovery. Code Section 5107 authorizes the Board's recovery of certain costs which result from the investigation and prosecution of violations of the Accountancy Act. Section 5107(a) of the Code provides in pertinent part that the executive officer of the Board may request the administrative law judge, as part of the proposed decision in a disciplinary proceeding, to direct any holder of a permit or certificate found guilty of unprofessional conduct in violation of Section 5100 to pay to the Board all reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees incurred prior to the commencement of the hearing. A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs signed by the Executive Officer, constitute prima facie evidence of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case.
- 11. Code section 5000.1 provides as follows: "Protection of the public shall be the highest priority for the California Board of Accountancy in exercising its licensing, regulatory, and disciplinary functions. Whenever the protection of the public is inconsistent with other interests sought to be promoted, the protection of the public shall be paramount."

#### FOR CAUSES FOR DISCIPLINE

# Country House, Inc. - Financial Statement Audit for FYE September 30, 2002

# First Cause for Discipline - Gross Negligence [Bus. & Prof. Code Section 5100(c)]

12. Respondent was engaged to perform, and did perform, an audit of the financial statements of Country House, Inc. Country House, Inc., a not-for-profit corporation, receives public monies for its foster care program and is required to file audit reports on its financial statements with the California Department of Social Services ("DSS"). The circumstances follow.

# First Set of Reports on Country House - 2002

13. On a date known to Respondent but not to Complainant, but likely in or about September 2002, Respondent issued an undated audit report on Country House's financial

statements for the seven-month period ended April 30, 2002. The cover sheet indicated it was an *Independent Financial Audit Report As Of September 30, 2002*. The report asserted that Respondent conducted his audit in accordance with GAAS and GAS, and that the financial statements were fairly presented in accordance with GAAP.

- 14. Respondent also issued, in or about the time period referenced above, and caused to be filed, or acquiesced in the filing, with DSS, for the same period ending April 30, 2002, his *undated* required Report on Internal Control as required by GAS.
- The reports referenced in paragraphs 13 and 14 above were stamped received at DSS' Foster Care Audits & Rates Branch, Financial Audits and Investigations Bureau on September 30, 2002.

#### Re-characterization of First Report on Country House - 2002

16. In response to an information request in November 2002 from the Board's investigator, the Respondent forwarded the report referenced in paragraph 13, marked "Advance Copy," noting that it was issued in order that the client could meet a filing obligation with the DSS.

## Second Set of Reports on Country House - 2002

- 17. On a date known to Respondent but not to Complainant, Respondent issued a second audit report for the period ended April 30, 2002. (This report does not bear the "Advance Copy" designation.) This report also asserted that Respondent conducted his audit in accordance with GAAS and GAS, and that the financial statements were fairly presented in accordance with GAAP. Respondent also (re-)issued, on a date known to Respondent but not to Complainant, his undated Report on Internal Control as required by GAS. A copy of these reports was provided to the Board in response to its inquiry regarding features of the "Advance Copy" reports described in paragraphs 13 and 14 above.
- 18. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 5100(c) for unprofessional conduct in that Respondent performed the audit of the financial

<sup>7.</sup> A copy of these reports was provided to the Board in response to its inquiry regarding features of the "Advance Copy" reports described in paragraphs 13 and 14 above. These reports were not filed with DSS.

statements of Country Home, Inc., an entity subject to Governmental Auditing Standards, which incorporate Generally Accepted Auditing Standards (GAAS), in a grossly negligent manner, as alleged herein.

# Violations of GAAS & Yellow Book - Auditing Standards

## First Standard of Fieldwork - Planning

- 19. The first standard of fieldwork requires adequate planning and proper supervision (see AU §150). The audit contained extreme departures from GAAS requirements for planning an audit, including but not limited to Respondent's failure to:
- A Obtain a level of knowledge of the client and its industry, or to consider the nature, extent, and timing of work to be performed, including making a preliminary judgment about materiality levels for audit purposes; use analytical procedures in the planning and overall review stages of the audit; and prepare a written audit program reflecting this planning. [See esp. AU §§311.03-.10 and 329.] Among other failures, Respondent failed to prepare a written program, to properly consider the work to be done, and to use analytical procedures. The audit documentation, or work papers, contain no documentation that Respondent made a preliminary materiality judgment, or that he considered the nature, extent, and timing of the work to be performed, or that he employed analytical procedures in any phase of the audit.
- B. Establish an understanding with the client regarding the services to be performed in the engagement. [AU §310.05.] There is no engagement letter or other evidence that Respondent established an understanding with the client regarding the services to be performed.
- C. Obtain an understanding of the internal control structure and the assessed level of control risk. [See esp. AU §§150, 319.25; 319.61 and 319.83.] (See also paragraphs 21 through 23 below.)
- 20. Incorporating by reference the matters alleged in paragraphs 12 through 19, Respondent's performance of the audit was characterized by extreme departures from GAAS and Yellow Book requirements, constituting gross negligence within the meaning of Code Section 5100(c).

1.7

21. The second standard of fieldwork requires that the auditor obtain a sufficient understanding of internal control to plan the audit and to determine the nature, timing, and extent of tests to be performed. (See AU §150.) Respondent's understanding of the elements of internal control, his evaluation of the internal control structure, and his assessment of the level of control risk, did not conform to professional standards, as described below.

- 22. Respondent failed to obtain an understanding of internal control sufficient to plan the audit. Specifically, he failed to obtain a sufficient understanding of the elements of Country House's internal control structure (the control environment, risk assessment, control activities, information and communication, and monitoring) to properly plan the audits; he performed no procedures to understand the design of relevant controls, nor to evaluate whether controls had been placed in operation. (See AU §319.)
- 23. There is no documentation of respondent's understanding and consideration of the elements of internal control. These failures include his failure to document that a sufficient understanding of the elements of Country House's internal control structure was obtained to properly plan the audit; his failure to document that he met his responsibility to assess the level of control risk in determining the nature, timing, and extent of substantive tests for financial statement assertions. There is no documentation of any conclusions, nor of any basis for conclusions, regarding such a risk assessment. (See AU §§150, 319 and 339.05.)
- 24. Incorporating by reference the matters alleged in paragraphs 12 through 19 and 21 through 23, Respondent's performance of the audit in the area of internal control was characterized by extreme departures from GAAS and Yellow Book requirements, constituting gross negligence within the meaning of Code Section 5100(c).

# Third Standard of Fieldwork - Evidential Matter

25. The third standard of fieldwork requires that the auditor obtain sufficient competent evidential matter, through inspection, observation, inquiries, and confirmations, to afford a reasonable basis for an opinion regarding the financial statements under audit. The auditor is required to evaluate the combined evidence provided

2.0

28.

by the confirmations and alternative procedures to determine whether sufficient evidence has been obtained about all applicable financial statement assertions. The working papers must document the audit evidence obtained, the auditing procedures applied, and the testing performed in order to meet the requirement that the auditor's opinion is reasonably based upon sufficient competent evidential matter. [See AU §§150; 326; 330.33; 333; 337; 339.05; 337.] Respondent failed, in his performance of the Country House audit, to obtain and/or document sufficient competent evidential matter to support his opinion on the financial statements, as described below.

- Respondent failed to obtain, and to document in his working papers, the audit evidence obtained, the auditing procedures applied, and the testing performed to comply with the requirement that sufficient competent evidential matter be gathered and analyzed to afford a reasonable basis for an opinion. The bank account, with a balance of \$709.44 on the books, was not reconciled to the bank balance of \$896.23. There are transfers noted on the bank statement with a different account number, but no other bank statements are presented and only one cash account is on the general ledger. There is no evidential matter presented, other than the general ledger, to support assertions made in the financial statements. [AU §§150; 336.02-.03.]
- Management and Legal Representation Letters. Generally Accepted Auditing Standards require the auditor to obtain, as of a date on or near the auditor's report date, letters from the client making certain representations about the financial statements as well as a "legal representation letter" addressing the existence or non-existence of legal matters which might affect financial statements or disclosures. Respondent failed to obtain written representations from management that confirm representations explicitly or implicitly given to the licensee during the audit [AU §§333.01; 333.03; 333.13] and failed to send a letter of audit inquiry to the client's lawyer and to obtain a response. [AU §337.06 and -.08.]
- 28. <u>Subsequent Period</u>. There were no procedures performed related to the subsequent period. [AU §560.10-.11.]
  - 29. Incorporating by reference the matters alleged in paragraphs 12 through 19, 23,

and 25 through 28, above, Respondent's performance of the Country House audit contained extreme departures from GAAS and Yellow Book requirements regarding evidential matter, constituting gross negligence within the meaning of Code Section 5100(c).

#### First and Third General Standards - Technical Proficiency and Due Professional Care - and the Third and Fourth Standards of Reporting

- 30. The first general standard requires that an audit be performed by technically proficient auditors with adequate training. The third general standard requires that the auditor exercise due professional care in the performance of the audit and in the preparation of the report. The third standard of reporting requires that "informative disclosures in the financial statements are to be reported as reasonably adequate unless otherwise stated in the report." The fourth standard of reporting reiterates requirements regarding the auditor's opinion and report. (See AU §§150; 431.02 and 431.03; 530.31.)
- 11. Incorporating by reference the allegations in paragraphs 12 through 19, 21 through 23, 25 through 28, and 30, above, Respondent's failures, including his lack of technical proficiency and failure to exercise due professional care, are demonstrated by the extreme departures from professional standards. Possessing and exercising adequate technical training and proficiency requires that the auditor study, understand, and apply new pronouncements on accounting principles and auditing procedures as they are developed by authoritative bodies within the accounting profession. Due care imposes a responsibility to observe the standards of field work and reporting, and requires the critical review of the work performed by, and the judgment exercised by, the auditor.
- 32. Incorporating by reference the matters alleged in paragraphs 30 and 31 above, cause for discipline of Respondent's license exists in that his performance of the audit contains extreme departures from the requirements imposed by applicable professional standards regarding technical proficiency and the exercise of due professional care, and was therefore grossly negligent within the meaning of Code Section 5100(c).

## Failures re: the Auditor's Report and Financial Statement Presentation

33. The audit working papers failed to include documentation showing that the audit evidence obtained, the procedures applied, and the testing performed provided sufficient

competent evidential matter to afford a reasonable basis for an opinion on the financial statements (indicating observance of the third standard of field work). In performing procedures and gathering evidential matter, the auditor is to continually maintain an attitude of professional skepticism. When an auditor's report expresses an opinion on the financial statements, the opinion expressed is to be based upon an audit performed in accordance with GAAS. The auditor failed to meet the fourth standard of reporting by expressing an opinion on the financial statements, taken as a whole, based on an audit containing extreme departures from the standards as noted above.

- 34. <u>Date of Report</u>. The auditor's report is not dated.
- Omission of Required Disclosures. The auditor's report provides an unqualified opinion on the financial statements but excludes all disclosures required by GAAP. As a result, the licensee should have issued a qualified or adverse opinion. The omitted disclosures include:
  - A. Nature of the company's operation s and its use of estimates (SOP 94-6).
  - B. Summary of Significant accounting policies (APB No. 22).
  - C. Allowance for doubtful accounts (SFAS No. 5).
  - D. Operating leases (SFAS No. 13).
  - E. Cash paid for interest and taxes (SFAS No. 95).
- 36. <u>Financial Statements</u>. Respondent failed to obtain and to evaluate evidence in support of the financial statement assertions, and failed to document that evidence. Respondent failed to exercise professional skepticism and to apply the requisite skill and judgment to his performance of the audit engagement. Respondent failed to comply with the third general standard (AU §150.02), which requires the exercise of due professional care in the performance of the audit and in the preparation of the report. (See AU §§150; 210; 230; 316; 326; 339 and 508.) Specifically, departures from requirements for the financial statements included:
- A. The financial statements presented were not prepared in accordance with professional standards (regarding the classification of net assets). (SFAS No. 117.)
  - B. The nature of the company's operations and its use of estimates in the

through 23, 25 through 28, 30, 33 through 36, and 39 above, cause for discipline of

EstradaAccSF2004401435 No. AC2005-11

Respondent's license is established under Board Rule 58 in conjunction with Code Section 5100(g), in that Respondent's conduct constitutes lack of conformance to professional standards.

# Fourth Cause for Discipline -Auditor's Report Violations [Bus. & Prof. Code Sections 5062/5100(g)]

- 43. Complainant realleges paragraphs 12 through 19, and 33 through 36 above, and incorporates them herein by reference as if fully set forth at this point.
- The certifications, in Respondent's audit reports for Country House, Inc.'s financial statements, that he had conducted its audits of Country House, Inc.'s financial statements according to GAAS and that the financial statements were fairly presented according to GAAP were contrary to fact and were not supported by Respondent's audit procedures. Respondent caused the issuance of the report in the absence of compliance with professional standards.
- 45. Incorporating by reference the matters alleged in paragraphs 43 and 44, cause for discipline of Respondent's license is established under Code Section 5062, in conjunction with Code Section 5100(g), for his failures in issuing the April 30, 2002 Country House, Inc. audit report.
- 46. Complainant realleges paragraphs 12 through 19 and 39 above, and incorporates them herein by reference as if fully set forth at this point.
- 47. Incorporating by reference the matters alleged in paragraph 46 above, cause for discipline of Respondent's license is established under Code Section 5062, in conjunction with Code Section 5100(g), in that his Yellow Book reports do not comply with professional standards.

# Further Causes for Discipline - Unlicensed Practice [Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 5050/5051/5055 & 5100(g), and 5100(c)]

- 48. On dates known to Respondent but not to Complainant, Respondent, by his own admission, has been engaged in preparing and filing tax returns, signed by himself as the CPA tax preparer, during the time period his license has been renewed in an "inactive" status.
  - 49. Incorporating by reference the matters alleged in paragraph 2, 12 through 19,

27

28

and 48 above, specifically that Respondent's license has been in an "inactive" status since September 1, 2001, Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code Sections 5050, 5051 and 5055 in conjunction with Code Section 5100(g) as well as Board Rule 80 in that Respondent's license was not valid for practice:

- A. At the time he performed the audit engagement of Country House, Inc.'s financial statements.
- B. At the time Respondent issued any of his audit reports of Country House, Inc.'s financial statements and his Yellow Book reports, and caused or acquiesced in the reports being filed with DSS
- C. During the time he was performing tax services for his clients, including signing tax returns as a CPA.
  - D. At other times known to Respondent but not to Complainant.
- 50. Incorporating the matters alleged in paragraph 49 above, multiple causes are established for the discipline of Respondent's license because Respondent engaged in the practice of public accountancy and represented himself as a licensed CPA without being duly licensed, that is, while his license was inactive.
- 51. Incorporating by reference the matters asserted in paragraph 49 above, Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code Section 5100(c) in that his filing of an audit report as a CPA during a time when his license was inactive is an extreme departure from professional standards and constitutes cause for discipline of his license.
- 52. Incorporating by reference the matters asserted in paragraph 49 above, Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code Section 5100(h) and Board Rule 94 in that his practice of public accountancy without fulfilling the requisite continuing education requirements for active practice violates Board Rules 80 and 87(a) and is cause for discipline of his license.

#### OTHER MATTERS

#### Cost Recovery

53. Pursuant to Code Section 5107, it is requested that the administrative law judge,

as part of the proposed decision in this proceeding, direct Respondent to pay to the Board all reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution in this case, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees. Discipline Considerations Code Section 5000.1 is relevant to the penalty determination in this matter. 54. **PRAYER** WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the California Board of Accountancy issue a decision: 8 Revoking, suspending, or otherwise imposing discipline upon Certified Public 55. 9 Accountant Certificate Number CPA 35696, issued to Severo "Roy" Camil Estrada. Ordering Severo "Roy" Camil Estrada to pay the California Board of 56. 11 Accountancy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to 12 Business and Professions Code section 5107; 13 Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 57. 14 15 16 17 18 California Board of Accountancy Department of Consumer Affairs 19 State of California Complainant 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

90038885.wpd

27

28